The 6th International Conference on Hydraulic and Civil Engineering



Fast Analysis System for Tunneling

Ning LI

Institute of Geotechnical Engineering, Xi'an University of Technology, China Dec 11-13, 2020, Xi'an



1 Background of the research

2 Solutions for the safe tunneling

3 Building the FAST platform

4 Verification of FAST

1 Background—Questions

What is the safe design and construction In Tunneling

➢ Is the tunneling safe in China ?

> What is the safe problem in tunneling ?

1 Background—Questions

What is the safe design and construction

- > Tunnel **design** is usually a **blind design**:
- Prior **geological investigation** is often <u>*not*</u> enough;
- Rock **parameters** is <u>not</u> accuracy;
- Designers usually <u>not</u> design bolts and shotcretes.

So, the tunnel **constructor** is often **blind too**.

> They construct tunnel mainly by **experience** not **science**.





12/28/2020

1 Background—Questions

How to solving the problem



Using Rational (scientific) design replace the empirical design for tunnel.

Why we can *not* do the rational design during tunneling even if we know (get parameters) of the rock of tunnel face after excavation ?





Because we can

not make the design calculation

so fast that to

follow the construction schedule.

1 Background—Long Time



1 Background—Tedious numerical methods



2 Solution for safe tunneling—Ideal

Can we develop a system which have following features:

- **Fast**—from few days reduce to several minutes
- Simple to use—apply to ordinary engineers, not professional numerical experts.
- ➤ Multi-functions :
- ✓ Consider main geological structures of the rockmass
- ✓ Consider excavation and support methods
- ✓ **Optimize the support (bolts and shotcret)**
- ✓ Quickly back analysis for mechanical parameters of the rockmass
- ✓ Quickly evaluate the stability of surrounding rock and supports



2 Solution for safe tunneling—Contradiction

Requirement

Multifunctions: requiring the large FEM to simulate the particularity of geotechnical engineering (e.g. structural surface, construction measures)

Fast and simple: may not to start with meshing, not involve with elastoplastic/nonlinear solving methods, and the simulation of construction measures **for the users**

2 Solution for safe tunneling—Solving Ideas

- Prior analysis and research
- — Find out the mechanical relations between the tunnel displac ements and rock property, load and tunnel size
- > Not so accuracy-but Fast and simple
- —No need to get hundreds or thousands of displacements of all nodes, only 20~30 important points along the tunnel face.
- No need to reach accuracy of 99.99% or 99.999% as most
 FEM, 80% accuracy is enough !!!

2 Solutions for safe tunneling

Prior analysis

Using the specialized analysis tool to **simulate various tunnels** (different tunnel sizes, rock types, with different geological structures, under different depth and supporting measures) Analyzing its displacements, stress and supporting forces. (11p,15y)

Build the relational database

Studying the correspondence between displacements, stresses and main effect factors to **build the relational database**.

2 Solutions for safe tunneling

Form the samples

Taking the relational database of the inputs and outputs of the systematic **numerical tests as main samples**, which is satisfy the stress equilibrium condition, deformation compatibility condition, Elastic-plastic criteria and the strength of supporting structures. And take the **experts experience**, field monitoring data and related specifications as boundary samples.

>Build the automated analysis platform

Using the powerful artificial **neuron network** method to **build the fast intelligence analysis system of tunnels** for the designers and constructors.

12/28/2020



Main influence factors of tunnel stability

> the size of tunnel

 \succ surrounding rock type (E,v,C, φ)

the depth of tunnel

> faults or joints near the tunnel

> supporting structures

Tab.1 Parameters of the numerical tests

Parameters	Sampling range	Sampling site
Depth (m)	100~1000	100、500、800、1000
Lateral pressure coefficient	0.38~3.00	0.38 , 1.00 , 1.50 , 2.00 , 3.00
Deformation modules(Gpa)	0.5~20.0	0.5, 2.0, 8.0, 20.0
Poisson's ratio	0.22~0.32	0.22、0.28、0.32、0.38
Cohesion (MPa)	0.15~1.8	0.15、0.4、0.8、1.8
Internal frictional angle (°)	25~45	25, 30, 40, 45
Fault dip (°)	0~90	0、45、90
Fault distance (diameter)	0.2~1.0	0.2、0.5、1.0
Thickness of fault (cm)	0~200	0、10、20、50、200
Tunnel's span (m)	5~20	6、8、10、15
High-span ratio	0.5~2.0	0.6、1.0、1.5、2.0

2/28/2020



The core model



Test scheme design (about 260,000 image tunnels) Uniform experimental scheme design

Scheme	Е	μ	C	Φ	K	α 1	Di	α2	D₂
1	20	0.2	0.4	50	1	90	0. 2D	90	0. 2D
2	0.5	0.28	1.8	25	2.5	180	1D	135	0. 5D
3	20	0.2	1.8	40	2.5	0	0.2D	180	0. 5D
4	20	0.38	0.15	25	0.38	45	1D	45	1D
5	2	0.2	0.8	50	1	45	0. 2D	45	0. 5D
6	8	0.2	0.8	40	2.5	135	0. 5D	135	0. 5D
7	2	0.28	0.8	40	1	180	0.2D	90	0. 2D
8	20	0.32	1.8	50	• 1.5	180	0. 2D	45	1D
9	2	0.2	0.4	40	1	180	0. 2D	90	0. 2D
10	2	0.2	0.8	50	1.5	45	1D	90	0. 2D
11	0.5	0. 32	0.15	50	1.5	90	0.2D	90	1D
12	20	0.32	0.15	25	2.5	135	0.5D	135	1D

≻Test scheme design

Verification scheme design

Scheme	E(GPa)	ц	C(MPa)	Φ (°) ,	Ko	a 1 (°)	D1	a 2 (°)	D_2
1	8	0.32	1.8	30	0. 38	0	0. 2D	0	0. 5D
2	2	0.2	1.8	50	1	0	1D	45	1D
3	2	0.28	0.8	40	1.5	90	1D	180	0. 2D
4	0.5	0.28	0.15	40	1.5	0	0. 2D	135	0. 5D
5	20	0.38	0.4	40	2.5	180	1D	0	0. 5D
6	8	0.32	1.8	25	1.5	45	0. 2D	45	0. 5D
7	8	0.2	0.4	40	1	45	1D	90	0. 5D
8	0.5	0.28	0.15	30	0.38	45	1D	135	1D
9	2	0.38	0.8	25	1.5	90	0. 2D	90	1D
10	20	0.32	1.8	50	1	90	0, 5D	180	0, 20

The modeling for the **position & distance** of faults or joints



12/28/2020

Xi'an University of Technology

Composition of two faults





12/28/2020

Xi'an University of Technology

22



The FEM model for numerical tests

Some results of numerical tests

(1) The impact of the weak intercalation distributed in vault,right spandrel and right sidewall.



≻Supporting time

♦ Rock type III Stress release rate is 40% ~60%

♦ Rock type IV Stress release rate is 50% ~80%

♦ Rock type V Stress release rate is 60% ~90%

Support scheme by Chinese code

					
tunnel span B (m) rock type	<i>B</i> ≤5	5< <i>B</i> ≤10	10< <i>B</i> ≤15	15< <i>B</i> ≤20	20< <i>B</i> ≤25
III	 (1)80~100mm shotcrete (2)50mm shotcrete +1.5~2.0m bolt 	 (1)120~150mm shotcrete (2)80~100mm shotcrete +2.0~2.5m bolt (bar-mat reinforcement,in necessity) 	100~150mm shotcrete +bar-mat reinforcement +3.0~4.0m bolt	150~200mm shotcrete +bar-mat einforcement +4.0~5.0m bolt (>5m prestressing force bolt or bolt,in necessity)	_
IV	80~100mm shotcrete +1.5~2.0m bolt	100~150mm shotcrete +bar-mat reinforcement +2.0~2.5m bolt (inverted arch, in necessity)	150~200mm shotcrete +bar-mat reinforcement +3.0~4.0m bolt (inverted arch+>4m bolt, in necessity)	_	_
V	120~150mm shotcrete +bar-mat reinforcement +1.5~2.0m bolt (inverted arch, in necessity)	150~200mm shotcrete+bar-mat reinforcement+2.0~3.0m bolt+inverted arch (steel arch, in necessity)	_	_	_
12/	28/2020	Xi'an University of Te	chnology	20	6

Support limitations

• **bolt length** should be less than tunnel's diameter.

• **bolt length** should be no less than 1.0m.

• **bolt interval** should be less than one third of tunnel's diameter or one third of bolt length.

• **bolt interval** should be no less than 1.0m or one-tenth of tunnel's diameter.

•...

>Treating of the weak layer (WL)

Decomposing the effect of weak intercalated layer into the effect of crack and the effect of its affected zone:



The deformation of WIL can be departed into two part:

✓ The discontinuous deformation caused by the slip or open of internal cracks.

✓ The continuous deformation caused by the **filling of WL**.

>Treating of the Weak Layer (WL)

The **strength of WL** element can be regarded as the **filling's strength** and the effect of WIL's **affected zone** mainly reflected the deformation characteristics of WIL whose parameters are usually referred to the **geotechnical parameters** in no-WIL zone.

$$E_z = \frac{T_z(E_r E_f)}{(T_z - T_f)E_f + T_f E_r}$$

Where, T_z is the thickness of WIL's affected zone ;

 T_{f} is the thickness of WIL;

 E_{f} is the deformation modulus of WIL;

E_r is the deformation modulus of no-WIL rock.



≻Fault impact factor

The fault impact factor is the deformation ratio of the same key points for two tunnels which are almost the same but one with fault and the other without fault.

3. Building the FAST—Neural network modelng

➤Sample preparation

• Unified disposal for the inputs

Let the input bits of sample is $x_p(p=1, 2, ..., n)$, define $x_{max} = max\{x_p\}, x_{min} = min\{x_p\}$, then the inputs could be disposed by the following formula:

$$\frac{x_p - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}} \Longrightarrow x_p$$

Unified disposal for the outputs

The outputs of the sample is y, considering its particularity, it could be disposed by the following formula:

$$\frac{\lg y}{5} \Rightarrow y$$

3Building the FAST—Neural network modelng

>Network building



32 keypoints × 5 variables=160 outputs

3Building the FAST—Neural network model

≻Training network



Have continuously calculated 6 months with 6 high speed computers.

12/28/2020

3Building the FAST—Neural network modelng

➢Network Testing

The training results when the fault dip is 0°

Scheme	Fault distance/D	Fault thickness/cm	Modulus /MPa	Cohesion /MPa	Friction angle /°	Poisson's ratio	Lateral pressure ratio	Accuracy
1	1	0	3000	1.8	40	0.22	3	90%
2	1	20	6000	0.8	28	0.28	1.5	89%
3	0.5	20	3000	1.8	28	0.32	0.38	87%
4	1	0	15000	1.2	28	0.28	3	91%
5	0.2	20	3000	1.2	50	0.22	0.38	93%
6	0.2	200	3000	1.8	50	0.32	1.5	88%
7	0.2	0	15000	1.8	50	0.28	0.38	89%
8	0.5	200	15000	1.8	40	0.32	3	92%
9	1	0	15000	1.2	50	0.22	1.5	90%
10	1	200	15000	0.8	40	0.28	3	89%
11	1	20	3000	0.8	28	0.32	3	88%
12	1	200	6000	1.2	50	0.32	3	91%
13	1	20	6000	0.8	40	0.22	0.38	88%
14	0.5	0	3000	1.2	28	0.32	1.5	94%
15	0.2	0	6000	1.2	40	0.32	0.38	92%
16	0.5	.0	15000	0.8	28	0.28	0.38	89%
17	0.2	0	3000	0.8	40	0.22	1.5	87%
18	0.2	200	3000	0.8	40	0.28	0.38	87%

3. Building the FAST—Neural network modelng

➢Network Testing

120/2020

The training results when the fault dip is 40°

Scheme	Fault distance/D	Fault thickness/cm	Modulus /MPa	Cohesion /MPa	Friction angle /°	Poisson's ratio	Lateral pressure ratio	Accuracy
1	1	0	3000	1.8	40	0.22	3	91%
2	1	20	6000	0.8	28	0.28	1.5	87%
3	0.5	20	3000	1.8	28	0.32	0.38	86%
4	1	0	15000	1.2	28	0.28	3	91%
5	0.2	20	3000	1.2	50	0.22	0.38	90%
6	0.2	200	3000	1.8	50	0.32	1.5	86%
7	0.2	0	15000	1.8	50	0.28	0.38	88%
8	0.5	200	15000	1.8	40	0.32	3	86%
9	1	0	15000	1.2	50	0.22	1.5	91%
10	1	200	15000	0.8	40	0.28	3	87%
11	1	20	3000	0.8	28	0.32	3	87%
12	1	200	6000	1.2	50	0.32	3	90%
13	1	20	6000	0.8	40	0.22	0.38	89%
14	0.5	0	3000	1.2	28	0.32	1.5	92%
15	0.2	0	6000	1.2	40	0.32	0.38	91%
16	0.5	0	15000	0.8	28	0.28	0.38	92%
17	0.2	0	3000	0.8	40	0.22	1.5	88%
	12/28/201	20	Vi'on	University	of Technology			35

an University of Technology

35

3.Building the FAST—Neural network model

>Network Testing

The training results when the fault dip is 90°

Scheme	Fault distance/D	Fault thickness/cm	Modulus /MPa	Cohesion] /MPa	Friction angle /°	Poisson's ratio	Lateral pressure ratio	Accuracy
1	1	0	3000	1.8	40	0.22	3	89%
2	1	20	6000	0.8	28	0.28	1.5	88%
3	0.5	20	3000	1.8	28	0.32	0.38	88%
4	1	0	15000	1.2	28	0.28	3	89%
5	0.2	20	3000	1.2	50	0.22	0.38	88%
6	0.2	200	3000	1.8	50	0.32	1.5	87%
7	0.2	0	15000	1.8	50	0.28	0.38	90%
8	0.5	200	15000	1.8	40	0.32	3	86%
9	1	0	15000	1.2	50	0.22	1.5	89%
10	1	200	15000	0.8	40	0.28	3	88%
11	1	20	3000	0.8	28	0.32	3	89%
12	1	200	6000	1.2	50	0.32	3	88%
13	1	20	6000	0.8	40	0.22	0.38	87%
14	0.5	0	3000	1.2	28	0.32	1.5	91%
15	0.2	0	6000	1.2	40	0.32	0.38	91%
16	0.5	0	15000	0.8	28	0.28	0.38	90%

3 Building the FAST—Stability Assessment

(1) By Chinese Code

The national standard of "Specifications for bolt-shortcret (GB50086-21)" has specified the deformation allowable ranges for the tunnels in different rock type and depth.

Dools type		Depth (m)	
Rock type	<50	50~300	300~500
III	0.1-0.3	0.2-0.5	0.4-1.2
IV	0.15-0.5	0.4-1.2	0.8-2.0
V	0.2-0.8	0.6-1.6	1.0-3.0

Note: the data in table is the percentage of allowable deformation and tunnel's diameter.

3 Building the FAST—Stability evaluation

(2) Method based on the improved Finer formula

① The maximum deformation of arch crown when the **plastic zone radius is zero**

$$\delta_{Allowable} = r \sin\phi (\gamma H + c \cdot \operatorname{ctg} \phi) / 2G$$

② The maximum deformation of arch crown when the **plastic zone radius is R**

$$\delta_{Allowable} = r(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})$$

$$B = K_1 K_2 K_3$$

$$K_1 = 2 - \frac{1+u}{E} \sin \varphi (\gamma H + Cctg \varphi) \qquad K_2 = \frac{1+u}{E} \sin \varphi (\gamma H + Cctg \varphi) \qquad K_3 = (\frac{R}{r})^2$$

12/28/2020

3 Building the FAST—Operation interface



3 Building the FAST—Operation interface



Computer remote login

Mobile phone remote desktop

Computer users: Direct login the remote desktop of windows system
 Phone users : Need to install the APP, <u>Microsoft Remote Desktop</u>

PC name: 32n3208d44.zicp.vip:26961 or 58252 User name: blank



4 Verification of FAST



The diversion tunnel of Huang river **Jishixia hydropower station**. Weak intercalation(Pjn33) through tunnel roof.

Key points	Results by FINAL	Results by FAST	Relative error
Arch crown	-26.12mm	-25.45mm	3%
Mid leftwall	2.58mm	2.89mm	12%
Mid rightwall	-1.31mm	-1.44mm	10%
12/28/2020	Xi'an Universit	<u>Д1</u>	

4 Verification of FAST



The diversion tunnel of **Zipingbu hydraulic project.** Weak intercalation(L9, F3) through tunnel.

Key points	Measured results	Results by FAST	Relative error
Arch crown	-24.13mm	-26.62mm	9%
Mid leftwall	35.01mm	30.51mm	13%
Mid rightwall	-13.59mm	-16.03mm	18%

X1 an University of Technology

Thank you for your attention?

12/28/2020